

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/579,753             | 05/18/2006                | Hein Wille           | 2001-1446           | 3031             |
| 466<br>YOUNG & T       | 7590 01/28/200<br>HOMPSON | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 745 SOUTH 2            | 3RD STREET                |                      | SOTELO, JESUS D     |                  |
| 2ND FLOOR<br>ARLINGTON | I. VA 22202               |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                        | •                         |                      | 3617                |                  |
|                        |                           |                      |                     |                  |
|                        |                           |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                        |                           |                      | 01/28/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/579,753 WILLE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Jesús D. Sotelo 3617 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 7-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 7-12 is/are rejected.

| Application I | Papers                |   |
|---------------|-----------------------|---|
| 8)∏ Cla       | im(s) are subject to  | restriction and/or election requirement |
| 7)∐ Cla       | im(s) is/are objected | d to.                                   |

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

a) All b) Some \* c) None of:

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be need in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

| 1. | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.                                     |
|----|--|
| 2. | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No                    |
| 3. | Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage |
|    | application from the International Bureau (PCT Bule 17.2(a))                                       |

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

| Attachment(s)   |  |
|---|--|
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | Interview Summary (PTO-413)              |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date                    |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/CE)          | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date                                       | 6) Other:                                |
|   |  |

Art Unit: 3617

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-3 and 7-12 are in the application. Claims 4-6 have been canceled.

### Specification

- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 3, lines 27-
- 31, the two sentences are incomplete.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- In claim 1, line 4 recites "providing a flexible duct..."; and in line 13, it recites
   "providing a single flexible duct...". The specification discloses only one flexible duct.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
  obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3617

Claims 1-3 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Mayau et al (WO 02/44607 in view of Lively (6.397.895) and Gibbs (4.339.002).

Mayau et al disclose a method of supplying a oil from a first floating structure to an offloading structure including the steps of providing a flexible duct 1 extending between the two structures at a water depth; the system includes at least one pump. Lively discloses a similar arrangement and teaches providing the pipe with insulating material around the duct and a friction reduction layer 3. In view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the duct in the system of Mayau et al with an insulating layer and a friction reduction layer, generally as taught by Lively. The particular dimensions of the duct, the insulation layer and the friction reduction layer are deemed to have been obvious matters of design choice to one having ordinary skill in the art. Gibbs discloses an arrangement for supplying oil from a first structure to a second structure. Gibbs teaches arranging the hose in a curved trajectory above the sea bed and teaches providing the hose with flotation devices 28. In view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the hose of Mayau with a curved configuration generally as taught by Gibbs. Such an arrangement would have been desirable dependant on the location of the connecting structures and to provide flotation units generally as taught by Gibbs. Claims 7-12 do not recite any method steps.

## Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 11/16/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3617

9. Applicant stresses the material qualities of the duct, but it should be noted that in method claims the patentable weight is generally carried by the method steps. The duct disclosed by Mayau meets the steps of providing a flexible duct extending between two structures. The secondary references teaches the use of a duct having a flexible elastomeric material and a friction reduction layer. Applicant argues that the Lively reference does not properly combine with Mayau because the duct of Lively is a small diameter steel pipeline.

10. Although it is true that the duct of Lively is a smaller diameter steel duct, the provision of the internal elastomeric layer and the friction reduction layer would be equally applicable to the duct of Mayau, notwithstanding its outer composition.

#### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3617

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jesús D. Sotelo whose telephone number is 571-272-6686. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 6:00 AM -3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jesús D. Sotelo/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617